

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA  
NORTHERN DIVISION

---

HAROLD OTIS FORD, #119 971

\*

Plaintiff,

\*

v.

\* CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:05-CV-822-A  
WO

DONAL CAMPBELL, *et al.*,

\*

Defendants.

---

\*

**ORDER ON MOTION**

Pending before the court is Plaintiff's Motion for Appointment of Counsel. (Doc. No. 5.) Plaintiff's request for representation has been read, considered, and the same shall be denied. The court finds from its review of the complaint that Plaintiff is able to adequately articulate the facts and grounds for relief in the instant matter without notable difficulty. Furthermore, the court concludes that Plaintiff's complaint is not of undue complexity and that he has not shown that there are exceptional circumstances justifying appointment of counsel. *See Killian v. Holt*, 166 F.3d 1156, 1157 (11th Cir.1999); *Kilgo v. Ricks*, 983 F.2d 189, 193 (11th Cir. 1993); *see also Fowler v. Jones*, 899 F.2d 1088, 1096 (11th Cir. 1990). Therefore, in the exercise of its discretion, the court shall deny Plaintiff's request for appointment of counsel at this time. The request may be reconsidered if warranted by further developments in this case.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Appointment of Counsel (Doc. No. 5), is DENIED.

Done this 6th day of September, 2005.

/s/ Vanzetta Penn McPherson  
VANZETTA PENN MCPHERSON  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE